



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,346	06/16/2005	Yuki Yoshi Takayama	2005_0959A	7376
513	7590	11/27/2007		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET N. W.			SUHOL, DMITRY	
SUITE 800				
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,346	TAKAYAMA, YUKIYOSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dmitry Suhol	3725	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.  
2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.  
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  
7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/19/05, 6/16/05

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 5, the limitation of a “unique signal” is not clear. It is not understood how the signal is unique or unique relative to what or what makes it unique.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for “the work transporting section” and “the work carrying-in section”.

Regarding claims 2 and 7, the limitation “are rendered correspondence to each other and are stored previously” is not clear and awkwardly written.

Regarding claim 5, the limitation starting with “the difference between the signal in accordance with eth operation of the press apparatus on the upstream side and a signal unique to the work transportation device is reduced at the boundary between...” and finishing with “...is reduced at the boundary between the work carrying-out section and the work transfer section.” is not clear and awkwardly written. It is not understood how the signal is reduced or where the signal is reduced. Additionally, there is no antecedent basis for “the work transfer section”.

Regarding claims 6 and 11, there is no antecedent basis for “the work carrying-out section”, “the work carrying-in section” and “the work transfer section”.

Regarding claims 10 and 12, same type of issues as in claim 5.

The remainder of the office action considers the claim as best understood.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Orii '887. Orii discloses a device and method for controlling the presses and transportation device of a tandem press line containing all the claimed elements, including the operation of a downstream press based on a signal in accordance with an upstream

press (col. 3-4, lines 57+ and 1-44, respectively), operation of the workpiece transportation device being controlled based on a signal from an upstream side press carrying out section and a downstream side press carrying-in section (see figure 2, where the main control unit 22 receives information from the auxiliary units positioned at upstream and downstream locations which receive signals from upstream and downstream press units inlet and outlet sections, also see col. 3, lines 28+ and col. 4, lines 1-10). With respect to the limitation of a unique signal, as best understood and lacking any clear distinguishing features, the signals generated to actuate units 7 and 13 (col. 3-4, lines 67+ and 1-8 respectively) specifically utilized to control the transportation devices.

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Orii makes it clear that his press units are operated simultaneously through the use of shift registers and D flip-flops (col. 3, lines 10-14 and col. 4, lines 40-44) and that operations of the presses may utilize microprocessor and data storing registers (col. 5, lines 24-29), therefore the use of stored position registers to signal the slide value of the press apparatus to achieve simultaneous press operations are encompassed by the teachings of Orii.

Limitations of claims 4 and 9 are encompassed in Orii since the control(s) read the downstream and upstream signal to control press operations and therefore the motor(s) (inherent to drive the mechanics of the press) would fluctuate in speed depending on whether the press is in motion or not.

As best understood limitations of claims 5, 10 and 12 are encompassed by Orii.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 571-272-4430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3725

ds